

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT TACOMA

10 MONTE HOISINGTON,
11 Plaintiff,
12 v.
13 ROBIN WILLIAMS, *et al.*,
14 Defendants.

Case No. C09-5630RJB

ORDER ADOPTING
REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION,
GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION
FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT, AND
DISMISSING CASE

17 This matter comes before the court on the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.
18 Dkt. 35. The court has considered the Report and Recommendation, plaintiff's objections (Dkt. 36),
19 defendants' response (Dkt. 37), and the file herein.

20 Plaintiff is a resident of the Special Commitment Center (SCC), detained or committed under
21 Washington's sexually violate predator statute, RCW 71.09. The SCC is located on McNeil Island.
22 Plaintiff filed this civil lawsuit, contending that SCC's strip search policy, which requires that he be strip
23 searched when he leaves McNeil Island on the ferry for transport to off-island medical appointments, and
24 when he returns to McNeil Island after the appointments, violates his constitutional rights.

25 On August 16, 2010, U.S. Magistrate Judge Karen L. Strombom issued a Report and
26 Recommendation, concluding that the SCC's strip search policy did/does not violate plaintiff's
27 constitutional rights. Dkt. 35. Judge Strombom carefully analyzed the rights asserted by plaintiff and the
28 security interests of the SCC. *See* Dkt. L35, at 7-17. The court has conducted a *de novo* review of the

1 record, and concurs with the analysis and conclusions of the magistrate judge.

2 In his objections, plaintiff contends that the strip searches and off-island transport conducted by
3 Department of Corrections staff violate the terms of the injunction issued by Judge William Dwyer in
4 *Turay v. Seling*, C91-664WD. That is not the case. In concluding that the state defendants had
5 established the SCC as a secure treatment environment, separate from the prison, and not a prison, Judge
6 Dwyer recognized that there were ways in which the Department of Corrections staff interacted with SCC
7 residents. *Turay v. Seling*, C91-664WD, Dkt. 1528, at 29. In relevant part, Judge Dwyer found that “[i]f a
8 resident has the occasion, or the opportunity, to travel to and from McNeil Island, MICC [Department of
9 Corrections staff] provides the transportation personnel and facilities, and regulates the process.” *Turay v.*
10 *Seling*, C91-664WD, Dkt. 1528, at 29. “In some instances residents are confined to hospitals off the
11 island, and while there, are in the custody of MICC security staff.” *Turay v. Seling*, C91-664WD, Dkt.
12 1528, at 29. Plaintiff’s contention that the *Turay v. Seling* case prohibits Department of Corrections staff
13 from strip searching and transporting SCC residents off-island to medical appointments is without merit.

14 The court should adopt the Report and Recommendation of the magistrate judge, grant
15 defendants’ motion for summary judgment, and dismiss the case.

16 Therefore, it is hereby

17 **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 35) is
18 **ADOPTED**. Defendants’ motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 28) is **GRANTED**. This case is
19 **DISMISSED**.

20 The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any
21 party appearing *pro se* at said party’s last known address.

22 DATED this 23rd day of September, 2010.

23 
24 Robert J. Bryan
25 United States District Judge
26
27
28